Effect of Managerial Ownership and Gender Diversity on Directors on Tax Avoidance with Audit Quality as a Moderation Variable

by rumahjurnalunived@gmail.com 1

Submission date: 13-Jun-2023 01:13PM (UTC-0400)

Submission ID: 2115368243

File name: 650-2476-1-ED-harap,_masripah.docx (411.28K)

Word count: 6811 Character count: 38933



Bima Journal – Bussiness Management and Accounting

Available online at : http://journal.pdmbengkulu.org/index.php/bima DOI: https://doi.org/10.37638/bima.24.1.679-7022



Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

1

Effect of Managerial Ownership and Gender Diversity on Directors on Tax Avoidance with Audit Quality as a Moderation Variable

Ruth Bae Eliani Harahap»; Masripah»

[2] Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta

Email: masripah@upnvj.ac.id

Field Code Changed

How to Cite :

Harahap, R.B.E., & Masripah. (2023). Effect of Managerial Ownership and Gender Diversity on 1 rectors on Tax Avoidance with Audit Quality as a Moderation Variable. Bima Journal Business, Management and Accounting Journal, 24 (1). DOI:

https://doi.org/10.37638/bima.4.1.49-1222

1

ABSTRACT

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received [24 Februari 2023]

Revised [26 Februari 2023]

Accepted [xx Month xxxx]

This research was conducted to ascertain and analyze the effect of managerial ownership and gender diversity on directors on tax avoidance with audit quality as moderation. This study also adds two control variables, namely profitability and firm size. The samples in this study used mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the 2019-2021 period and were sorted using the purposive sampling method so that 75 samples were obtained. By using multiple linear regression tests, the results of managerial ownership and gender diversity in directors have no effect on tax avoidance. Then audit quality strengthens the effect of managerial ownership on tax avoidance, and audit quality weakens the effect of gender diversity on

KEYWORDS

Managerial Ownership, Gender Diversity on Director, Audit Quality, Tax Avoidance

2

This is an open access article under the <u>CC-BY-SA</u> license



Field Code Changed

INTRODUCTION

directors on tax avoidance.

Taxes are mandatory levies to the community that will be allocated as people's welfare funds, one of which is as a national development need. Putri et al. (2019) said that the source of tax revenue comes from taxpaters based on the calculation of income generation and then adjusted

Bima Journal (Business, Management And Accounting Journal), Vol. 4 No. 1 2023 page: 9-221 9

1

ournal-Bima (Busiiness, Management-And Accountiing), Vol. 42 No. 1 2020 2022 page: 67-1 -- 8212

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

1 ISSN : 2721-2971

to the tax rate applicable at each income level. However, this desire has obstacles because most people still find it difficult and burdened to pay taxes (Yopie & Elivia, 2022). This is also quite a vulnerable thing for corporate taxpayers because they have a desire that is contrary to the government in terms of paying taxes. For companies, taxes will affect income due to reduced

corporate profits. With the payment of taxes, the company transfers the revenue earned from the

e-ISSN: 2721-267X

business sector to the government (Ayem et al., 2019)

Hudha & Utomo (2021) said because there is differences point of view either from the company or the government, it causes companies to act to find loopholes in minimizing the payment of tax liabilities through the weakness of applicable government regulations. Tax avoidance is an act of reducing taxes applied by companies by taking advantage of weak tax regulations or applicable laws (Sari & Kinasih, 2021). Tax avoidance until now still does not have a tax regulation that regulates and is considered a legal action, because no tax avoidance action is considered not contrary to tax provisions.

The level of how far the company goes in tax avoidance cannot be separated from the management that makes business decisions within the company. Regina et al. (2021) argues that when making decisions, the opportunistic attitude of management will tend to increase. This decision made by management will be contrary to shareholders who expect optimal profit generation to be made without avoiding tax payments (Charisma & Dwimulyani, 2019). Thus, the existence of m 4 agerial ownership is expected to align the interests of management and shareholders. In line with research conducted by Alkurdi & Mardini, (2020) and Pramudito & Sari (2015) shows that the greater the management owns the company's shares, the lower the management's desire to carry out tax avoidance.

Along with the number of female populations that dominate more than men and the implementation of gender equality policies, women are slowly filling the position of the board of directors of the company. Cortellese (2020) argues that gender diversity on the board of directors presents a 8 v and broader view of the business world so that companies can make more informed decisions. The presence of women on the board of directors can reduce the occurrence of tax avoidance practices because women who have a high view of ethics and norms and will reject unethical behavior such as violating tax regulations (Firdaus et al., 2021). In line with Ambarsari et al. (2019) and Ho 2 in et al. (2019) mentioned that gender diversity has a negative influence on taxes, which means that the presence of women on the board of directors can reduce tax avoidance because women's compliance is higher than men's compliance with taxes. Meanwhile, research of Simamora & Sari (2021) and Tanujaya & Kaslianto (2021) stated that gender diversity has a positive effect because gender diversity in the board of directors can make effective decisions after all decisions on tax avoidance for male directors will be balanced with the decisions of female directors who tend to be cautious so that they will improve performance by reducing the burden on companies that have by mutual agreement.

Good company management through optimization of audit quality can negatively affect tax avoidance. Audit quality is the ability of an auditor to find and disclose the impropriety of a company's financial statements based on the evidence encountered. Krisna (2019) added that when an auditor conducts a fairness assessment and finds fraud in financial statements, it will produce a quality audit report.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Agency Theory

Jensen & Meckling (1976) explained that agency theory can be simplified into a contractual bond created between shareholders and management, where management will act as a service provider so that it will produce policies to help make joint decisions. However, agency



10 | Harahap, R.B.E., & Masripah. (2023). Effect of Managerial Ownership and Diversity on Directors on Tax...

Commented [1]: Font 10
Formatted: Font: 10 pt

relations can cause conflicts when there is a gap in interests on the part of shareholders and management (Carolina & Purwantini, 2020). In addition, there is an imbalance of information between the agent and the principal being the basis for the presence of agency conflicts. According to Jensen & Meckling (1976), the agent as a manager is closer to the operational environment of the business than the principal, the agent is more detailed in terms of understanding the company's business conditions.

Theory of Planned Behavior

The theory of planned behavior is the intention to behave that can cause behavior that a person will later carry out (Ajzen, 1991). In this theory, it can be assumed that the higher a person sintention and initiative to act, the higher the probability of the behavior being carried out. In addition, this theory explains that the human being as a rational being thus at the time of behaving will see and utilize the information possessed and become an internal and external motivation in behaving.

Tax Avoidance

In his book, Pohan (2013) explained that tax avoidance is the avoidance of paying a certain amount of tax made by taxpayers without violating applicable tax rules. Hudha & Utomo (2021) said that tax avoidance is considered a complex thing because it is two inconsistent things where this is allowed to be done, but the action is not desirable. Tax avoidance efforts that are carried out must be with appropriate and strategic managerial actions so that they will not have an impact on the government or the company itself (Yopie & Elivia, 2022).

Managerial Ownership

Prasetyo & Pramuka (2018) said that management that owns company shares and plays an active role in decision-making is the definition of managerial ownership. Management as a shareholder consisting of commissioners, directors, and other equivalent officers will take part in making decisions. Managerial ownership is expected to align agency issues due to management involvement in shareholding. So that the greater the share ownership owned by the management, it will tend to improve performance and increase shareholder interests (Krisna, 2019).

Gender Diversity

Gender diversity is a comparison of genders in directors not only dominated by certain genders. The gender comparison must involve women and men in it. Winasis et al. (2017) explained that both women and men have the same status so rights and obligations are needed in all fields including positions and positions in the company. The presence of women on the company's board of directors is very important because it will help in effectively monitoring managerial performance (Hoseini et al., 2019). The female Board of Directors is committed to balancing management responsibilities with shareholders. In addition, in making decisions, female directors are better than male directors so accountability of financial statements increases in line with GCG.

Audit Quality

According to Ningtyas & Aris (2016) in the company's financial statements, there are two characteristics that must be possessed, namely relevance and reliability. With the existence of an independent auditor, it is hoped that it will provide guarantees regarding relevant and reliable financial statements to increase the trust of all interested parties. Maharani & Juliarto (2019) explained that audit quality can mediate between management and shareholders and an effective

way to protect shareholders from the opportunistic nature of management. If an auditor can find fraud in financial statements when assessing the fairness of financial statements, it will produce a quality audit report (Krisna, 2019). In addition to being able to find improprieties in financial statements, the ability of an auditor to convey information about his findings in the audit report will show the quality of the audit. The quality of financial statements that have been audited by quality auditors will minimize management's desire to carry out tax avoidance (Krisna, 2019; Lestari & Nedya, 2019).

Managerial Ownership and Tax Avoidance

Tambalean et al. (2018) stated that management is the party responsible for driving the company's operational activities as well as providing reports on the company's performance and development to shareholders. The difference in interests between management and shareholders occurs during the optimization of company profits, where management tends to want to me personal interests, namely increasing compensation so that management will make decisions to do tax avoidance (Evana, 2019). On the other hand, shareholders want management not to practice tax avoidance with the aim of maintaining the company's reputation (Ningrum et al., 2018). Dewi & Abundanti (2019) and Prasetyo & Pramuka (2018) argue that managerial ownership is defined as the ownership of company shares by management so that they will play an active role in decision-making. Managerial ownership will bind management's wealth with shareholders so that it also exerts influence when management will make decisions (Zurriah & Tembiring, 2018).

Research conducted by Fadhila et al. (2017); Fajarani (2021); Yadasang et al. (2019) stated that managerial ownership negatively affects tax avoidance. The presence of managerial ownership will make management undo the intention of attaching ir cortance to personal wishes and be careful in making decisions. Ejeh & Salaudeen (2018) stated that the presence of managerial ownership will help in reducing agency conflicts because management will also have the same view as shareholders not to do tax avoidance.

H1: Managerial ownership negatively affects tax avoidance

Gender Diversity on Board of Directors and Tax Avoidance

The board of directors is the party given the responsibility to operate the company to obtain optimal profit (Isfardiyana, 2017). The Board of Directors is authorized to determine the decisions that will be used in running the company, including tax decisions (Handayani & Panjaitan, 2019). Gender diversity in the board of directors shows a comparison between the position of female directors and male directors (Firdaus et al., 2021). Female directors have a high point of view on morals and ethics and feminine traits make female directors follow their instincts and feelings in making a decision compared to men who are authoritarian (Mala & Ardiyanto, 2021). The presence of women on the board of directors will monitor and assess the effectiveness of decisions taken for corporate tax (Aprilia et al., 2020). Thus, the existence of gender diversity on the board of directors will suppress the opportunistic attitude of the directors so that tax avoidance is not carried out (Hoseini et al., 2019).

Research conducted by Ambarsari et al. (2019), Hoseini & Gerayli (2018), Leris et al. (2020) 2d Safitri et al. (2021) states that gender diversity has a negative influence on taxes. This means that the presence of women on the board of directors can reduce tax avoidance because women's compliance is higher than men's compliance with taxes.

H2: Gender diversity in directors negatively affects tax avoidance

Moderation of Audit Quality in Managerial Ownership and Tax Avoidance

1 ISSN : 2721-2971

e-ISSN: 2721-267X

Lalitya & Kiswara (2017) explained that managerial ownership will minimize agency problems by bringing together management interests with shareholders' interests. To avoid consequences both as management and shareholders, management will be wiser in making decisions and di 7 osing company information through financial statements (Fadhila et al., 2017; Fajarani, 2021). The information in the financial statements presented must be reliable so that the quality of audits of financial statements are needed to reduce information asymmetry (Lalitya & Kiswara, 2017). Audit quality is aimed at overseeing the disclosure of information on financial statements presented by management to shareholders including information regarding taxation (Regina et al., 2021). The correctness of financial statement information will weaken management's desire to carry out tax avoidance (Lestari & Ningrum, 2018). So that the quality of audits strengthens management with share ownership to reduce tax avoidance practices and reduce agency conflicts between management and shareholders (Dabari et al., 2022).

Research conducted by Charisma & Dwimulyani (2019) and Regina et al. (2021) stated that audit quality strengthens the negative influence of managerial ownership on tax avoidance. Quality auditors who have audited the company's financial statements are believed to be able to detect fraud so that the financial statements will display the true value of the company. Al-rashdan (2022), Dabari et al. (2022), and Krisna (2019) also added that the quality of the audit will oversee an agement performance and detect misinformation on financial statements.

H3: Audit quality reinforces the significant influence of managerial ownership on tax avoidance

Moderation of Audit Quality on Gender Diversity on the Board of Directors and Tax Avoidance

Handayani & Panjaitan (2019) argues that the board of directors is the party given the responsibility of operationalizing the company to achieve optimal profit through decided policies. We en directors have a high level of contribution to tax compliance so will minimize the practice of tax avoidance (Hoseini et al., 2019). The female board of directors will oversee decision-making on taxation so that it will minimize agency problems and increase the transparency of financial statements (Aprilia et al., 2020). The financial statements published will be a source of information for shareholders regarding the condition of the company (Lalitya & Kiswara, 2017). Karnawati (2024 explained that audit quality is the ability of an auditor to find and report improprieties in a company's financial statements. The better quality of the audit will minimize loopholes for tax avoidance by the board of directors (Miladi & Chouaibi, 2021). The better quality of the audit will minimize loopholes for tax avoidance by the board of directors (Miladi & Chouaibi, 2021). According to research by Hoseini & Gerayli (2018) states that the existence of gender diversity on directors will also reduce tax avoidance practices. In addition, it can fulfil the desire of shareholders not to carry out tax avoidance to maintain the company's reputation (Aprilia et al, 2020).

H4: Audit quality reinforces the significant influence of gender diversity on directors on tax avoidance

RESEARCH METHOD

Population and Sample

The research population is in the form of mining sector companies listed on the IDX for the 2019-2021 period. Research data collection is facilitated by providing secondary data in the form of financial reports and annual reports which are transparently published on the company's official website and also the IDX website. With the purposive sampling method, a total sample of 75 data was obtained by adjusting the following predetermined criteria:

Table 11. Determination of Research Samples

Information Sum

Bima 3 nal (Busiiness, Management And Accountiing Journal), Vol. 4 No. 1 2023 page: 9 – 22 13 Journal Bima (Business, Management And Accounting), Vol. XX No. Y 2020 page: 1 – 12 60

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Commented [2]: Adjust the font this

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Do not check spelling

Mining sector companies listed on the IDX	49
Companies that were delisted in 2020-2021	-3
Companies listed in 2020-2021	2
Mining sector companies that suffered losses during 2019 – 2021.	-21
Mining sector companies do not have all the information	
used in this study and cannot be accessed through the official website of the Indonesia Stock Exchange or the	-2
company's official website.	
Number of companies sampled for the study	25
Number of years in the research period (2019-2021)	3
Number of Research Samples	75

Source: Process secondary data (2022)

Regression Model

This study used the model of multiple linear equations to test H 1 and H2:

$$TA_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 KM_{it} + \beta_2 GD_{it} + \beta_3 PROF_{it} + \beta_4 SIZE_{it} + e_{it}.$$

(1)

In addition, this study applied the MRA (Moderated Regression Analyze) test which was used as a test tool to test H $_3$ and H $_4$.

$$TA_{it} = \alpha + \beta_{1}KM_{it} + \beta_{2}GD_{it} + \beta_{3}KA_{it} + \beta_{4}KM_{it}*KA_{it} + \beta_{5}KA_{it}*KA_{it} + \beta_{5}PROF_{it} +$$

$$\beta_6 SIZE_{it} + e$$
(2)



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Aims to describe the characteristics of the data that has been collected by describing the condition of the data more deeply both from the amount of data, the average data value (mean), the maximum value of the data, the minimum value, and the standard deviation of the data.

Tabel 22. Results of Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Variable	Oho	Moon	Ctd Dow	N.Cl.	Mon
variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max
HE	75	-0,0217	0,0750	-0,3104	0,1306
KM	75	0,0766	0,2155	0000,0	0,9551
GD	75	0,0728	0,1139	0000,0	0,4000
THAT	75	0,0630	0,0696	0,0011	0,2773
PROF	75	0,0953	1,1063	0,0013	0,5202
SIZE	75	29,7946	1,0864	27,6407	32,3155

Source: Data processed STATA V.13 2022

Normality Test

The normality test will be useful in reviewing the data being studied and has been distributed normally. If the research data shows that it is not normally distributed, then the data becomes invalid. The data will be said to be normal if the value of the skewness test produces a value of less than 3 and kurtosis produces a value of less than 10.

1

14 | Harahap, R.B.E., & Masripah. (2023). Effect of Managerial Ownership and Diversity on Directors on Tax...

Commented [3]: Adjust the font this Table 1

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Do not check spelling

Table 3. Normality Test Results

X7	Mod	el 1	Model 2	2
Variable	Skewness	Kurtosis	Skewness	Kurtosis
HE	-1,9739	9,3273	-1,9739	9,3273
KM_w	2,7929	9,2427	2,7929	9,2427
GD	1,1731	2,9543	1,1731	2,9543
THAT		-	1,3967	4,5666
KMKA_w	-		2,8398	9,7797
GDKA	-	-	2,3638	7,3456
PROF	2,1684	8,0895	2,1684	8,0895
SIZE	-0,0754	2,7061	-0,0754	2,7061

Source: Data processed STATA V.13 2022

Selection of Panel Data Regression Model

The chow test of this study showed that both models obtained a result value of $0.0000 < \alpha$ (0.05), so both models received H_0 with the selected model being FEM (Fixed Effect Model). Furthermore, a Hausman test is needed to determine the best between FEM and REM (Suward), 2011). The results showed for model one obtained the results of the Hausman test > a value (0.05) and also model two Hausman test < a value of α (0.05), where model one obtained a result of 0.9848 and model two of 0.000. And the results are model one uses REM and model two uses FEM.

st Classical Assumptions

Multicollinearity Test

The multicollinearity test became a test to see in the regression model if there were interactions between independent variables (Ghozali, 2018 p. 137). Data that is free from multicollinearity must have a VIF value below 10 to meet this test.

Table 4. Multicollinearity Test Results

X/	M	odel 1	Model 2		
Variable	VIF	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance	
KM_w	1,17	0,8523	5,60	0,1786	
GD	1,41	0,7083	2,16	0,4639	
THAT	-	-	2,93	0,3417	
KMKA_w	-	-	5,81	0,1721	
GDKA_w	-		2,01	0,4976	
PROF	1,87	0,5359	1,95	0,5128	
SIZE	2,43	0,4122	4,13	0,2419	
Mean VIF		1.72		3.51	

Source: STATA v.13.0 output, data processed (2022)

Heteroskedasticity Test

This test is performed with a modified wald test. However, the results showed that after testing both models obtained a result of 0.0000 where there was a heteroskedasticity problem due to a probability of < 0.05, so it was required to perform a general least squares (GLS) test as an alternative. The GLS test results show that there is no longer a heteroskedasticity problem because it states that both models have homoscedastic data.

Regression Test Results

Table 5. Regression Test Results

Bima . 3 rnal (Busiiness, Management And Accounting Journal), Vol. 4 No. 1 2023 page: 9 – 221 15 Journal Bima (Business, Management And Accounting), Vol. XX No. Y 2020 page: 1 – 12 60

Commented [4]: Adjust the for this Table 1
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Justified
Formatted Table

Commented [5]: Adjust the font this

Formatted: Font: Bold Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Justified, Space After

Commented [6]: Adjust the font this

this Table 1

Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Justified, Space After

Formatted: Font: Bold

			Mod	el 1	
			Random Ef	fect Model	
	Coef.	T	P>z	Hypothesis Prediction	Conclusion
KM_w	-0,0094	-0,1800	0,8570	H1: -	Rejected
GD	0,0190	0,2400	0,8090	H2: -	Rejected
PROF	0,0902	1,1200	0,2650		
SIZE	-0,0122	-1,4500	0,1470		
Prob>					
Chi2	0,0010				
R-sq					
(Overall)	0,0427				

			Mod	el 2	
Fixed Effect Model					
	Coef,	T	P>z	Hypothesis Prediction	Conclusion
KM_w	0,1431	1,4100	0,1580		
GD	-0,1258	-1,2900	0,1980		
THAT	-0,2837	-2,0100	0,0440		
KMKA_w	-6,1319	-2,0000	0,0450*	H3: +	Accepted
GDKA	3,4551	2,1700	0,0300*	H4: +	Rejected
PROF	0,0919	1,2000	0,2310		
SIZE	-0,0140	-1,4500	0,1470		
Prob>					
Chi2	0,0045				
R-sq					
(Overall)	0,0037				
Note: *) Sign	nificance lev	el 5% (0.05)		·	

Source: STATA v.13.0 output, data processed (2022)

It is known that both models can be categorized a 5 easible because Prob>Chi2 is below the significance of 0.05 where the test results of model one gets a value of 0.0010 and model two gets a value of 0.0045. It can be interpreted that independent variables have a significant simultaneous effect on the bound variables. Then R square in model one gets a value of 0.0427, it can be interpreted that tax avoidance can be explained by the independent variable in model one by 45%. While the results of model two get an R square value of 0.0037, it can be interpreted that tax avoidance can be explained by the independent variable in model two of 0.37%.

Hypothesis one reflects that the probability of managerial ownership is 0.8570 or 85.7%, where the value has exceeded the percentage of the significance level of 10% (85.7% > 9%) with a coefficient value of -0.0094 so that H_1 cannot be proved and H_0 is accepted. Where it can be said that managerial ownership does not affect tax avoidance. The second hypothesis in model 1 shows a probability of gender diversity in directors of 0.8090 or 80.90% which means that this value is higher than the significance of 10% (80.90% > 10%) with a coefficient value of 0.0190 so H_2 is rejected. This proves that gender diversity on the board of directors does not affect tax avoidance. The third hypothesis in the model 2 regression test showed a probability of 0.0450 or 4.5% where this value was less than the significance of 5% (4.5% < 5%) with a coefficient value of -6.1319 so that H_3 was accepted. This shows that the quality of audits weakens managerial ownership of tax avoidance. The fourth hypothesis in the model 2 regression test showed a probability of 0.0300 or 3% where this value was lower than the significance of 5% (3% < 5%) with a coefficient value of 3.4551 so H_4 was rejected. It shows that the quality of the audit weakens the moderation of gender diversity on the board of directors toward tax avoidance.

a. Managerial Ownership and Tax Avoidance

1

16 | Harahap, R.B.E., & Masripah. (2023). Effect of Managerial Ownership and Diversity on Directors on Tax...

Commented [7]: just separate to

At the time of conducting a hypothesis test to assess managerial ownership, a significance of 0.8570 was higher than 0.10 and a coefficient of -0.0094 was obtained. Testing provides the results of the first hypothesis (H₁) managerial ownership does not affect tax avoidance. This means that when a company does tax avoidance, it is not influenced by managerial ownership or not of company shares. This research is in line with Hidayat & Damayanti (2021), Prasetyo & Pramuka (2018) and Regina et al. (2021) stated that although in a company there is a managerial with share ownership, this illustrates that managerial does not have a large voting right in making a decision. Kusumah (2022) said that although management owns shares in the company, it does not make management have enough votes for decision-making, because at the time of decision-making it is not only decided by management but also requires approval from other shareholders. Krisna (2019) also added that there are circumstances where even though the company has high managerial ownership, this does not provide changes to the company's taxation policy due to the lack of managerial rights and authority itself.

5

b. Gender diversity on the board of directors and Tax Avoidance

When conducting a hypothesis test to assess gender diversity on the board of directors, a significance of 0.935 greater than 0.10 and a coefficient value of -0.004587 was obtained. This test provides the results of the second hypothesis (H₂) of gender diversity in directors does not affect tax avoidance. This means that in the position of the board of directors in a company whether or not there are women does not affect tax avoidance in the company. This results in line with Mala & Ardiyanto (2021) and Tanujaya & Anggreany (2021) who stated that gender diversity on the board of directors does not affect tax avoidance. The Board of Directors in carrying out their obligations is required to be professional and able to account for all decisions and actions not limited to gender. Ampriyanti & Aryani M (2016) added that even though tax avoidance is still in the gray area, the board of directors will still be obliged to account for their actions if tax avoidance is carried out in the long term. This will pose risks such as losing the companys reputation. Thus, both female and male directors will work professionally to maintain the trust of shareholders to manage the company.

c. Audit Quality as a Moderation of Managerial Ownership and Tax Avoidance

When conducting a hypothesis test to assess managerial ownership with audit quality, a significance value of 0.070 less than 0.10 and a coefficient value of -1009.983 was obtained. This test provides the results of the third hypothesis (H₃), namely that the quality of the audit strengthens the influence of managerial ownership on ta 4 voidance. This means that the quality of the audit makes managers with shareholdings refuse to do tax avoidance. The results of this study are in line with Charisma & Dwimulyani, (2019) where when a company's financial statements are audited by an industry specialization KAP auditor, it is judged that the auditor can detect errors in the financial statements. Thus, the audited fi 8 icial statements can reflect the actual state of the company and minimize tax fraud applied by the company. Dabari et al. (2022) and Regina et al. (2021) also added that the quality of audits will monitor managerial performance and detect errors in financial statements, although managerial ownership itself cannot affect tax avoidance practices. In addition, because of the quality of audits, managers with share ownership will further reduce their desire for tax avoidance and be wiser in making decisions.

d. Audit Quality as Moderation of Gender diversity on the board of directors and Tax Avoidance

When conducting a hypothesis test to assess gender diversity in directors with audit quality, a significance of 0.220 was obtained less than 0.05 and a coefficient of 3.4551. This test

Bima , 3 rnal (Busiiness, Management And Accountiing Journal), Vol. 4 No. 1 2023 page: 9 – 22l 17 Journal Bima (Business, Management And Accounting), Vol. XX No. Y 2020 page: 1 – 12l 60

1 ISSN : 2721-2971

responsible for every action taken.

e-ISSN: 2721-267X

provides the fourth hypothesis result (H₄) that audit quality weakens the influence of gender diversity on directors on tax avoidance. This means that the fourth hypothesis is rejected. Although there is a good quality audit in the company, this cannot suppress gender diversity and not carry out tax avoidance. The presence of the female gender in the board of directors as an internal factor is still a minority, the quality of audits as an external factor can encourage female directors to carry out tax avoidance. Tanujaya & Kaslianto (2021) said that tax avoidance, which until now is still legally legal, makes female directors who naturally have a ris 2 verse nature take the opportunity to do tax avoidance because there is no governing law. The presence of female directors can provide a diverse perspective on tax avoidance and make the nature of the male directors who are more courageous to make risky decisions be balanced with the nature of prudence in female directors. Then because the quality of audits is present as a supervisor of the performance of the board of directors through financial statements, this will increase the prudence and thoroughness of the female directors when it will increase the company's profits by reducing the company's tax burden so that the company continues to obtain good audit quality.

CONCLUSION

This research was conducted to determine the results of the test of the influence of managerial ownership 7 gender diversity on the board of directors on tax avoidance with audit quality as moderation. Based on the results 9 the panel data regression model test analysis, the H₁ result was obtained, namely managerial ownership does not affect tax avoidance. This result explains that managers with company share ownership do not have sufficient voting rights and also an authority in determining decisions regarding company taxation. Then in H₂, the results of gender diversity on the board of directors did not affect tax avoidance. It can be concluded that both female directors and male directors will be required to be professional and able to be

The results of processing moderation data, obtaining H₃ res ⁴s, namely audit quality as moderation can strengthen the influence of managerial ownership on tax avoidance. It can be concluded that KAP with industry specialization has the ability to detect fraud in financial statements, so that management as shareholders do not have the desire to take potentially harmful actions such as tax avoidance. Then in H₄, it was found that the quality of the audit weakened the influence of gender diversity on the board of directors on tax avoidance. Because the presence of quality audits to monitor the performance of the board of directors through financial statements will increase the tendency of women when maximizing performance by reducing the burden on the company including tax burdens.

REFERENCES

- Agatha, B. R., Nurlaela, S., & Samrotun, Y. C. (2020). Managerial, Institutional Ownership, Independent Board Of Commissioners, Audit Committee And Food And Beverage Financial Performance. E- Jurnal Akuntansi, 30(7), 1811–1826.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.47985/dcidj.475
- Al-rashdan, M. T. (2022). Verification Of Tax Avoidance By Audit Quality And Dimensions Of Ownership Structure In Jordanian Manufacturing Companies. 6(6), 3374–3384.
- Alkurdi, A., & Mardini, G. H. (2020). The impact of ownership structure and the board of directors' composition on tax avoidance strategies: empirical evidence from Jordan. *Journal* of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 18(4), 795–812. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-01-2020-0001
- Ambarsari, D., Pratomo, D., & Kurnia, K. (2019). Pengaruh Ukuran Dewan Komisaris, Gender

Diversity Pada Dewan, dan Kualitas Auditor Eksternal terhadap Agresivitas Pajak (Studi pada Perusahaan Sektor Property dan Real Estate yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia tahun 2013-2017). *Jurnal Aset (Akuntansi Riset)*, *XVII*(2), 142–157. https://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/aset/article/view/14991

- Ampriyanti, N. M., & Aryani M, N. K. L. (2016). Pengaruh Tax Avoidance Jangka Panjang terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Karakter Eksekutif sebagai Variabel Moderasi. E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana, 16, 2231–2259. https://ocs.unud.ac.id/index.php/Akuntansi/article/view/20623
- Annuar, H. A., Salihu, I. A., & Obid, S. N. S. (2014). Corporate Ownership, Governance and Tak Avoidance: An Interactive Effects. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 164(August), 150–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.11.063
- Aprilia, A. W., Febriany, R., Haryono, L., & Marsetio, N. C. (2020). Pengaruh Karakteristik Direksi Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 12(2), 233–255.
- Ashari, M. A., Simorangkir, P., & Masripah, M. (2020). Pengaruh Pertumbuhan Penjualan, Kepemilikan Institusional, dan Kepemilikan Manajerial terhadap Penghindaran Pajak (Tax Avoidance). Jurnal Syntax Transformation, 1(8), 488–498.
- Carolina, V., & Purwantini, A. H. (2020). Pengaruh Pengendalian Internal, Struktur Kepemilikan, Sales Growth, Ketidakpastian Lingkungan, dan Koneksi Politik terhadap Tax Avoidance (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Periode 2015 2019. Business and Economics Conference in Utilization of Modern Technology, 161.
- Charisma, R. B., & Dwimulyani, S. (2019). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Tindakan Penghindaran Pajak Dengan Kualitas Audit Sebagai Variabel Moderating. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pakar Ke 2, 2(32), 1–10.
- Cortellese, F. (2020). Gender board composition and tax aggressiveness in public listed companies. Copenhagen Business School, CBS Law Research Paper, 20(27).
- Dabari, I. J., Liuraman, Z., Address, E., & Author, C. (2022). Moderating Effect of Audit Quality on the Relationship Between Ownership Structure and Tax Aggressiveness of Listed Consumer Goods Companies in Nigeria. NDA Journal of Management Sciences Research, 2(1), 44–53.
- Deef, A. T., Alrawashdeh, B., & Al-fawaerh, N. (2021). The Impact of Foreign Ownership and Managerial Ownership on Tax Avoidance: Empirical Evidence From Egypt. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal, 25(2), 1–13.
- Doho, S. Z., & Santoso, E. B. (2020). Pengaruh Karakteristik Ceo, Komisaris Independen, dan Kualitas Audit terhadap Penghindaran Pajak. Media Akuntansi Dan Perpajakan Indonesia, 1(2), 169–184. https://doi.org/10.37715/mapi.v1i2.1408
- Ejeh, B. U., & Salaudeen, Y. M. (2018). Equity ownership structure and corporate tax aggressiveness: the Nigerian context. *Pressacademia*, 5(2), 90–99. https://doi.org/10.17261/pressacademia.2018.828
- Evana, E. (2019). The Effect of State Ownership Structure, Investment Decision, and Fiscal Tax Loss Compensation Toward Tax Avoidance on Manufacturing Companies Listed. 8(1), 202–216. http://buscompress.com/uploads/3/4/9/8/34980536/riber_8-s1_17_k18-022_202-216.pdf
- Fadhila, N. S., Prtomo, D., & Yudowati, S. priyandani. (2017). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajeria, Komisaris Independen dan Komite Audit Terhadap Tax Avoidance. E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana, 21(3), 1803–1820.
- Fajarani, P. M. (2021). Pengaruh kepemilikan manajerial, kepemilikan institusional dan komite audit terhadap tax avoidance. Jurnal Bisnis Manajemen & Ekonomi, 19(1), 315–327.
- Firdaus, F. A., Nurlaela, S., & M, E. M. W. (2021). Institutional Ownership , Audit Quality , Gender Diversity and Political Connection. JURNAL AKSI Akuntansi Dan Sistem Informas , 6(2), 91–100.

Fitria, G. N. (2018). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Institusional, Komisaris Independen, Karakter Eksekutif dan Size terhadap Tax Avoidance (Study Empiris Pada Emiten Sektor Perdagangan yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2014-2017). Profita: Komunikasi Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Perpajakan, 11(3), 438-451.

- Ghozali, I. (2018). Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 25 (9th ed.). Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Handayani, J. D., & Panjaitan, Y. (2019). Board Gender Diversity and its Impact on Firm Innovation Strategies. Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen, 9(3), 407–420. https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2021.12450abstract
- Hardani, Auliya, N. H., Andriani, H., Fardani, R. A. F., Ustiawaty, J., Utami, E. F., Sukmana, D. J., & Istiqomah, R. R. (2020). Metode Penelitian Kualitatif & Kuantitatif. In H. Abadi (Ed.), Penerbit Pustaka Ilmu (Vol. 1, Issue 1). CV. Pustaka Ilmu Grup.
- Hidayat, I. R., & Damayanti, T. W. (2021). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial Terhadap Agresivitas Pajak: Corporate Governance Sebagai Variabel Moderasi. *Jurnal Proaksi*, 8(2), 329–343. https://doi.org/10.32534/jpk.v8i2.1873
- Hoseini, M., & Gerayli, M. S. (2018). The Presence of Women on The Board and Tax Avoidance: Evidence from Tehran Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Finance and Managerial Accounting*, 3(9), 52–62.
- Hoseini, M., Safari Gerayli, M., & Valiyan, H. (2019). Demographic characteristics of the board of directors' structure and tax avoidance: Evidence from Tehran Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 46(2), 199–212. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-11-2017-0507
- Hudha, B., & Utomo, D. C. (2021). Pengaruh Ukuran Dewan Direksi, Komisaris Independen, Keragaman Gender, dan Kompensasi Eksekutif terhadap Penghindaran Pajak Perusahaan (Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia 2017-2019). Diponegoro Journal of Accounting, 10(1), 1–10. http://ejournals1.undip.ac.id/index.php/accounting
- Jarboui, A., Kachouri Ben Saad, M., & Riguen, R. (2020). Tax avoidance: do board gender diversity and sustainability performance make a difference? *Journal of Financial Crime*, 27(4), 1389–1408. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-09-2019-0122
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behaviour, Agency Cost and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics* 3, 72(10), 305–360. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726718812602
- Jihene, F., & Moez, D. (2019). The moderating effect of audit quality on CEO compensation and tax avoidance: Evidence from Tunisian context. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 9(1), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.7355
- Karnawati, Y.-. (2020). Kompetensi Dan Perspektif Feminin Dalam Audit. Jurnal Ekonomi: Journal of Economic, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.47007/jeko.v11i1.3041
- Krisna, A. M. (2019). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Institusional dan Kepemilikan Manajerial pada Tax Avoidance dengan Kualitas Audit sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi. Wacana Ekonomi (Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi), 18(2), 82–91.
- Lalitya, Y., & Kiswara, S. E. (2017). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Kualitas Audit Dengan Variabel Moderasi Imbalan Audit. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 6(3), 1–13. http://ejournal-s1.undip.ac.id/index.php/accounting
- Lanis, R., & Richardson, G. (2011). The effect of board of director composition on corporate tax aggressiveness. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 30(1), 50–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2010.09.003
- Lestari, N., & Nedya, S. (2019). The effect of audit quality on analyst following. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 354(1), 329–333. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1798068
- Lestari, N., & Ningrum, S. A. (2018). Pengaruh Manajemen Laba dan Tax Avoidance terhadap

Nilai Perusahaan dengan Kualitas Audit sebagai Variabel Moderasi. *Journal of Applied Accounting and Taxation*, 3(1), 99–109.

- Mala, N. N., & Ardiyanto, M. D. (2021). Pengaruh Diversitas Gender Dewan Direki Terhadap Penghindaran Pajak (tudi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Perbankan yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2014-2018). Diponegoro Journal of Accounting, 10(1), 1–11.
- Marfiana, A., & Andriyanto, T. (2021). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Perusahaan Terhadap Tak Avoidance Di Indonesia Dengan Corporate Governance Sebagai Variabel Moderasi. Jurnal Pajak Dan Keuangan Negara (PKN), 3(1), 178–196. https://doi.org/10.31092/jpkn.v3i1.1226
- Miladi, E., & Chouaibi, J. (2021). The Moderating Role Of Audit Quality On The Relationship Between Women In Top Management Team And Bank Earnings Management. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal, 25(Special Issue 4), 1–14.
- Multazam, S., & Rahmawaty. (2018). The Influence of Leverage, Executive Incentives, Managerial Ownership on Tax Aggresiveness (Study in Manufacturing Companies Listed on IDX Year 2012-2014). Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Ekonomi Akuntansi (JIMEKA), 3(3), 494-504.
- Ningtyas, W. A., & Aris, M. A. (2016). Independensi, Kompetensi, Pengalaman Kerja, dan Due Professional Care: Pengaruhnya terhadap Kualitas Audit yang Dimoderasi dengan Etika Profesi (Studi Empiris pada Kantor Akuntan Publik se-Jawa Tengah dan DIY). Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia, 1(1), 75–88. https://doi.org/10.23917/reaksi.v1i1.1971
- Oktavia, V., Ulfi, J., & Kusuma, J. wijaya. (2020). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance dan Ukuran Perusahaan terhadap Tax Avoidance (Pada Perusahaan Properti dan Real Estate yang Terdaftar di BEI Periode 2015 - 2018). Jurnal Revenue, 01(02), 143–151.
- Pohan, C. (2013). Perencanaan Pajak & Bisnis (Edisi Revisi). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama. Pramudito, B. W., & Sari, M. M. R. (2015). Pengaruh Konservatisme Akuntansi, Kepemilikan Manajerial dan Ukuran Dewan Komisaris terhadap Tax Avoidance. E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana, 13(3), 737–752.
- Prasetyo, I., & Pramuka, B. A. (2018). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan Institusional, dan Proporsi Dewan Komisaris Independen terhadap Manajemen Laba. *Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis, Dan Akuntansi (JEBA)*, 20(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.32616/jbr.v1i2.64
- Puspita, M. ayu prilla winda, & Utama, I. made karya. (2016). Fee Audit sebagai Pemoderasi Pengaruh Kualitas Audit terhadap Integritas Laporan Keuangan. E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana, 16(3), 1829–1856.
- Putri, P. Y. A., Dewi, I. G. A. P., & Idawati, P. D. P. (2019). Pengaruh Kualitas Audit dan Leverage pada Agresivitas Pajak pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2013-2017. Jurnal KRISNA: Kumpulan Riset Akuntansi, 10(2), 148–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.22225/kr.10.2.911.148-160
- Regina, R., Masripah, M., & Ajengtiyas, A. (2021). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial dan Kompensasi Eksekutif terhadap Penghindaran Pajak dengan Kualitas Audit sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi. Konferensi Riset Nasional Ekonomi, Manajemen, Dan Akuntansi, 2(1), 701–712.
- Safitri, A. R., Triani, I., Handayani, R., Audina, S., & Handayani, A. (2021). Pengaruh Csr, Gender Diversity, dan Intensitas Modal terhadap Tax Avoidance (Studi Kasus Pada Perusahaan Sektor Pertambangan Sub Sektor Minyak dan Gas Bumi Tahun 2016-2020). Prosiding PIM (Pekan Ilmiah Mahasiswa), 2(1), 95–120. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2014.07.001%0Ahttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.ndteint.2017. 12.003%0Ahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.02.024
- Sari, A. Y., & Kinasih, H. W. (2021). Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Leverage, dan Kepemilikan Institusional terhadap Tax Avoidance. *Dinamika Akuntansi*, *Keuangan Dan Perbankan*, 10(1),51–61.
- Sari, R. N., Hardiyanto, A. T., & Simamora, P. (2019). Pengaruh Beban Pajak Tangguhan,

Perencanaan Pajak dan Profitabilitas Terhadap Manajemen Laba pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2012-2017. *Jurnal Online Mahasiswa Bidang Akuntansi*, 5(5).

- Simamora, S. J. P., & Sari, D. P. (2021). Influence of Independent Director, Female Director, and Thin Capitalization on Tax Avoidance. *JOURNAL OF AUDITING, FINANCE, AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING*, 9(2), 65–76.
- Suripto. (2021). Pengaruh Corporate Social Responsibility, Kualitas Audit dan Manajamen Laba terhadap Tax Avoidance pada Perusahaan Pertambangan yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmiah MEA (Manajemen, Ekonomi, Dan Akuntansi) Vol. 5 No. 1, 2021, Universitas Pamulang*, 5(P-ISSN; 2541-5255 E-ISSN: 2621-5306), 1651–1672.
- Tambalean, F. A. K., Manossoh, H., & Runtu, T. (2018). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial Dan Kepemilikan Institusional Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Sektor Industri Barang Konsumsi Di Bei. Going Concern: Jurnal Riset Akuntansi, 14(1), 465–473. https://doi.org/10.32400/gc.13.04.21255.2018
- Tanujaya, K., & Anggreany, E. (2021). Hubungan Dewan Direksi, Keberagaman Gender Dan Kinerja Berkelanjutan Terhadap Penghindaran Pajak. Fair Value: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Keuangan, 4(5), 1648–1666. https://doi.org/10.32670/fairvalue.v4i5.754
- Tanujaya, K., & Kaslianto, W. (2021). Pengaruh Faktor Karakteristik Demografis Dewan Dan Tata Kelola Perusahaan Terhadap Penghindaran Pajak Perusahaan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *CoMBInES-Conference on Management*, *1*(1), 1515–1527.
- Thoomaszen, S. P., & Hidayat, W. (2020). Keberagaman Gender Dewan Komisaris dan Direksi terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 30(8), 2040. https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2020.v30.i08.p11
- Wiley, C., & Monllor-Tormos, M. (2018). Board Gender Diversity in the STEM&F Sectors: The Critical Mass Required to Drive Firm Performance. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 25(3), 290–308. https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051817750535
- Winasis, S. E., Nur, E., & Yuyetta, A. (2017). Pengaruh Gender Diversity Eksekutif Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan, Tax Avoidance Sebagai Variabel Intervening: Studi Kasus Pada Perusahaan Pertambangan Yang Terdaftar Di Bei Tahun 2012-2015. Diponegoro Journal of Accounting, 6(1), 1–14. http://ejournal-s1.undip.ac.id/index.php/accounting
- SYadasang, R. M., & Suun, H.Muhammad Nasaruddin, F. (2019). Pengaruh kepemilikan institusional, kepemilikan manajerial, dan ukuran dewan komisaris terhadap penghindaran pajak pada perusahaan perbankan yang terdaftar di bei 2015-2017. Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen Dan Akuntansi, 14(1), 17–23.

Field Code Changed

Effect of Managerial Ownership and Gender Diversity on Directors on Tax Avoidance with Audit Quality as a Moderation Variable

ORIGINALITY REPORT			
13% SIMILARITY INDEX	15% INTERNET SOURCES	7% PUBLICATIONS	3% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY SOURCES			
1 journal	.pdmbengkulu.c	org	6%
2 WWW.re	esearchgate.net		1 %
3 reposit	ory.iainbengkulu	ı.ac.id	1 %
4 confere	ence.asia.ac.id		1 %
5 journa Internet Sou	.binus.ac.id		1 %
6 jurnal.	oknstan.ac.id		1 %
7 ieomso	ociety.org		1 %
8 ssbfnet			1 %
9 jos.uns Internet Sou	soed.ac.id		1 %

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches

< 1%

Exclude bibliography On

Effect of Managerial Ownership and Gender Diversity on Directors on Tax Avoidance with Audit Quality as a Moderation Variable

PAG	GE 1
PAG	SE 2
PAG	GE 3
PAG	GE 4
PAG	GE 5
PAG	iE 6
PAG	SE 7
PAG	E 8
PAG	E 9
PAG	SE 10
PAG	SE 11
PAG	SE 12
PAG	SE 13
PAG	GE 14